





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,071	05/08/2001	Ann Marie Schmidt	0575/55424-Z/JPW/SHS/MVM 3248	
75	90 12/17/2002			
John P. White Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
			KAUSHAL, SUMESH	
New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W .		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/851,071	SCHMIDT ET AL.		
Į	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit		
		Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D.	1636		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 C</u>	October 2001			
2a)□		s action is non-final.			
3)□	, _		ocception as to the morits is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 17-38 is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 17-38 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[] 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/851,071

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

▶ If the claims are amended, added and/or canceled in response to this office action the applicants are required to follow Amendment Practice under 37 CFR § 1.121 (http://www.uspto.gov) and <u>A CLEAN COPY OF ALL PENDING CLAIMS IS REQUESTED.</u>

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 17-21, 37-38 and 22-25, drawn to a method of evaluating the ability of an agent to inhibit tumor invasion, wherein the agent is an <u>antibody</u>, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
- II. Claims 17-21, 37-38 and 26-32, drawn to a method of evaluating the ability of an agent to inhibit tumor invasion, wherein the agent is a <u>peptide</u> classified in class 512, subclass 2.
- III. Claim Claims 17-21, 37-38 and 33-35, drawn to a method of evaluating the ability of an agent to inhibit tumor invasion, wherein the agent inhibits an interaction between tumor cell and extracellular matrix molecule classified in class 435, subclass 375.
- IV. Claim Claims 17-21, 37-38 and 36, drawn to a method of evaluating the ability of an agent to inhibit tumor invasion, wherein the agent inhibits the binding of RAGE to amphoterin, classified in class 435, subclass 375.

Claim 21 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising: a peptide, a nucleic acid, a synthetic organic compound, an inorganic molecule, a carbohydrate, a lipid, an antibody or a small molecule. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,071

Art Unit: 1636

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case inventions I is drawn to a method of inhibiting tumor invasion by administering an antibody whereas inventions II is drawn to a method of inhibiting tumor invasion by administering a polypeptide respectively. These methods have different mode of operation and effects because polypeptides and antibodies are structurally and functionally distinct compounds. Thus, these inventions are distinct and are of separate use.

Inventions III and IV are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case inventions III and IV are drawn to two distinct methods of evaluating the ability of an agent. The invention III is drawn to method of screening agent that inhibits the interaction between tumor cell and extracellular matrix molecule whereas invention IV is drawn to a method of screening agent that inhibits the binding of RAGE to amphoterin. Thus, these inventions are distinct and are of separate use.

In addition inventions of groups I and II are distinct from inventions of group III and IV since each have different modes of operation, functions or effects. For example, invention of group I and II require the use of a polypeptide or antibody to inhibit tumor invasion, whereas the invention of group III and IV requires identification of agents that specifically inhibit interaction

Art Unit: 1636

between tumor-cell/extracellular matrix and RAGE/amphoterin respectively. Thus, these inventions are distinct and are of separate use.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-6838. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. from 9AM-5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yucel Irem Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-8724 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

S. Kaushal

Patent examiner

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER